

U.S. Joint Staff of the JOINT CHIEFS OF STAFF Order UAP Reverse-Engineering Program Under AARO Oversight

In a FOIA document obtained by Douglas D. Johnson, new information sheds light on how the U.S. responds to UAP.



[BAPTISTE FRISCOURT](#)

MAR 18, 2024





Image by [Defence-Imagery](#) from [Pixabay](#)

In just 9 pages, this [official document](#) from the world's foremost military power shows that UAP incursions into American skies have been taken extremely seriously for almost a year.

Indeed, this “General Admin Message” from one of the highest-ranking military structure in the US, confirms many pieces of information that have recently been leaked.

The message unequivocally begins by stating that:

Here is evidence that the political pressure exerted by the UAP Caucus and other interested parties to compel the Department of Defense to address UAP has yielded results, despite these efforts being criticized by Dr. Sean Kirkpatrick in the Historical AARO Report.





~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

Department of Defense DIRECTIVE

NUMBER C-3325.01E

October 10, 2006

USD(I)

SUBJECT: Foreign Materiel Program (FMP) (U)


“Foreign Material Exploitation policies and doctrine” refers here to programs within the United States designed to recover foreign technologies and research, as stated in that declassified [document](#):

Foreign Materiel Exploitation (FME). Foreign Material Program activities that include analysis, testing, evaluation, and documentation of the scientific and technical intelligence (Science & Technology characteristics of an item of foreign materiel).

The Department of Defense shall acquire and exploit foreign weapons systems and components, foreign commercial items with potential military application, and related technologies, documents, and software to enhance national security.

Information derived from exploitation of foreign materiel shall receive immediate and widest possible dissemination and use to ensure maximum possible benefit consistent with need and security.

AARO is indeed at the head of a UAP crash retrieval and reverse engineering program, confirming the slide presented on the AARO official website.



AARO Mission Overview, Dr. Sean Kirkpatrick

These groundbreaking statements are just the beginning. One of the most often asked questions regarding UAP is, “Are they from outer space?” In the message, we can see that the definition of the objects does indeed extend to space:

SPACEBORNE UAP are sources of anomalous detections above the Karman Line.

The report also describes UAP as able to contain physical objects:

UAP OBJECTS are corporeal artifacts of unidentified anomalous phenomena. UAP may contain one or more UAP objects (e.g., airborne craft exhibiting apparent anomalous capabilities). UAP material is samples, in whole or in part, of UAP objects (e.g., debris).

The message also confirms the performance of such objects:

"Anomalous detections" include but are not limited to phenomena that demonstrate apparent capabilities or material that exceed known performance envelopes.

As shown during the February 2023 [events](#), which saw 4 airborne targets shot down by the U.S., including 3 unidentified objects, doctrine has changed. The U.S. is now willing to intercept such platforms, whatever they are.

A UAP ENGAGEMENT is a kinetic or non-kinetic response to a UAP, intended to deny, disrupt, or destroy the phenomenon and/or its object(s).

A UAP THREAT is a force-protection and/or national security risk to persons, materiel, or information posed by UAP demonstrating hostile intent.

But the United States would also like to know more about them:

A UAP INTERROGATION is the elicitation of UAP location, capabilities, characteristics, and/or intent using passive and/or active sensing capabilities—including but not limited to electro-optical/imagery, infrared/thermal, radiofrequency/radar, light/laser/lidar/ladar, electromagnetic, gravitational, and radioactive means.

A UAP REACTION is the apparent response of a UAP to stimuli, including but not limited to UAP detection, observation, interrogation, and/or engagement.

To collect such data, the message explains the method and process to securely report UAP. One could think that the U.S. has relaunched its classified Blue Book [files](#).

UAP INCIDENT AND INCURSION REPORTS are to be completed and securely transmitted to Combatant Command Joint Operations Centers and Service Watch Centers no later than 96 hours after the event.

The AARO aims to collect information specifically on:

UAP REACTION TO OBSERVATION, INTERROGATION, ENGAGEMENT (yes/no; if yes, what preceded the reaction (e.g., observer's active sensing, communication, weapons arming, engagement)

UAP EFFECTS ON EQUIPMENT (e.g., mechanical, electrical controls, and weapons systems, and whether persistent or transitory):

One could wonder if there is a link between UAP “interaction” and not only national security but also [civilian](#) flight safety [issues](#).

This work is licensed under [CC BY-NC-ND 4.0](#)