

# Engineers claim to have recorded UAP in a new paper

In a new paper published on OJAS, J.J. Tedesco and G.T. Tedesco showed how their platform "Nightcrawler" recorded interesting data during a 10-month-long study.



[BAPTISTE FRISCOURT](#)

AUG 28, 2024



Image par [Jill Wellington](#) de [Pixabay](#)

Gerald Tedesco, BSc. and John Tedesco, M.Ed., B.S.E.E., CET, both affiliated with Harvard Galileo [Project](#), published on August 27 a report on the [study](#) they conducted for nearly a year along the U.S. East Coast, near Long Island.

Using a customized RV equipped with advanced sensors, they managed to record data of objects they could not identify.

Since July of 2022, we observed a light phenomenon that most often presented itself as a spheroid under a luminous state, while under decreased luminosity, it seemed to display a polyhedral appearance morphologically. Fewer sightings appeared as ovaloid and cylindroid.

These objects also displayed unusual physical characteristics: fluctuating states of albedo, glinting, rotation, variations in spectral range, and change of state from luminous to illuminous.

This light phenomenon, at times, demonstrated swarm-like behavior that did not fit a pattern of regular air traffic and could not be verified by Automatic Dependent Surveillance-Broadcast (ADS-B) data (FCC, 2023).

These observations are reminiscent of earlier testimonies from Air Force pilots, who in 2014 and 2019 reported numerous objects violating US airspace.

During this period, we observed odd displays of light that frequented the coastlines, especially more abundant on and off the south shore. The unknown objects appear to be elusive, and they frequently remain just outside of the spectral range of human sensory perception. They are primarily seen within the infrared bandwidth and may occasionally be observed in the visible spectrum when their albedo/luminosity is sufficiently intense to be seen.

This raises the question of whether the optical signature of these objects, which are just beyond the perceptual capabilities of the human eye, is a concealment ability, as often reported by witnesses, or just a coincidence.

On rare occasions when these objects and luminosities were perceptible in the visible spectrum, they assumed variations in color frequency, such as white, blue (occasionally iridescent), orange, and red.

Their behaviors were transitory, random, indifferent, and purposeless at times, and there were moments when these objects demonstrated an awareness of us and some level of organization, intelligence, and even interaction.

Though such a statement may seem strange, witness accounts often describe not only the remarkable abilities and appearance of these objects but also their kinetics which suggest an intention, as if they are working towards an objective.

There were instances where we observed more bizarre features, such as luminous objects suddenly appearing and rising from or out of the ground, where none had been seen previously. Occasionally, we would see them sitting on the ocean surface or passing beneath it without disturbing that medium and any sounds.

This type of apparition, where lights come out of the ground, is similar to observations made in the Hessdalen valley in Norway, where glows seemed to emerge from beneath the earth.

We have noted some interesting outliers in spectrum analyses during presentations of this phenomenon. Measurements of E-M field power flux densities (the sum product of electrical and magnetic fields) have detected 1.79 GHz and 4.066 GHz signals. We also found a correlational relationship between our Emf measurements and the unusual ultrasonic signals we detected multiple times during the coastal light phenomenon presentations. Both the Emf and acoustics displayed 40 KHz block separations between signals.

These types of radio broadcasts also have historical precedence, such as in the RB-47 case, and were presented at a NASA conference by the director of the Pentagon's All-Domain Anomaly Resolution Office (AARO) as signatures of these unknown UAPs. This suggests that the source of these signals is fundamentally technological. That UFO podcast recently interviewed the authors:

Such an initiative is commendable. Stemming from a long tradition of field study using the best available technology, this approach ties in more with real-world observation than with laboratory science, where an experiment has to be reproduced to be accepted. Skeptics of the UFO phenomenon all too often demand this kind of laboratory data despite its limitations.

In field studies, especially regarding rare events such as lightning strikes, the reliability of the data depends on the protocol established by the scientists in the field. Waiting for lightning to strike a laboratory beaker would be ineffective. Similarly, the scientific consensus has only accepted the fact that meteorites fall from the sky for the past two centuries: since such events were rare, it took a field study proving that it could only have come from there for this new theory to be accepted.

While Sentinel News is currently [testing](#) its own detectors in the French countryside in preparation for the deployment of its mobile observatory in January 2025, this type of in-depth study remains uniquely rich.